

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,530	HIROSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) _____.

(2) Applicants' Rep James A. Pinto (Reg. No. 40,774).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Time: 1:15 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b) type

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent 4,978,905 (e.g. see switches M8, M13, and M30 (or M14) in Fig. 2) from the applicants' Aug 18th IDS.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicants' representative agreed to cancel claim 16 after the examiner described how the one prior art reference reads on the recited limitations. See the Examiner's Amendment for details.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)